Standard Test Methods for Tension Testing of Metallic Materials\(^1\)

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E 8; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (\(\epsilon\)) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

This standard has been approved for use by agencies of the Department of Defense.

1. Scope

1.1 These test methods cover the tension testing of metallic materials in any form at room temperature, specifically, the methods of determination of yield strength, yield point elongation, tensile strength, elongation, and reduction of area.

\(\text{NOTE 1—A complete metric companion to Test Methods E 8 has been developed, therefore, no metric equivalents are shown in these test methods. Committee E28 was granted an exception in 1997 by the Committee on Standards to maintain E8 and E8M as separate companion standards rather than combining standards as recommended by the Form and Style Manual.}\)

\(\text{NOTE 2—Gage lengths in these test methods are required to be 4D for most round specimens. Test specimens made from powder metallurgy (P/M) materials are exempt from this requirement by industry-wide agreement to keep the pressing of the material to a specific projected area and density.}\)

\(\text{NOTE 3—Exceptions to the provisions of these test methods may need to be made in individual specifications or test methods for a particular material. For examples, see Test Methods and Definitions A 370 and Test Methods B 557.}\)

\(\text{NOTE 4—Room temperature shall be considered to be 50 to 100°F unless otherwise specified.}\)

1.2 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:

A 356/A 356M Specification for Steel Castings, Carbon, Low Alloy, and Stainless Steel, Heavy-Walled for Steam Turbines\(^2\)

A 370 Test Methods and Definitions for Mechanical Testing of Steel Products\(^3\)

B 557 Test Methods of Tension Testing Wrought and Cast Aluminum- and Magnesium-Alloy Products\(^5\)

E 4 Practices for Force Verification of Testing Machines\(^5\)

E 6 Terminology Relating to Methods of Mechanical Testing\(^5\)

E 8M Test Methods for Tension Testing of Metallic Materials [Metric]\(^5\)

E 29 Practice for Using Significant Digits in Test Data to Determine Conformance with Specifications\(^6\)

E 83 Practice for Verification and Classification of Extensometers\(^5\)

E 208 Test Method for Conducting Drop-Weight Test to Determine Nil-Ductility Transition Temperature of Ferritic Steels\(^5\)

E 345 Test Methods of Tension Testing of Metallic Foil\(^5\)

E 691 Practice for Conducting an Interlaboratory Study to Determine the Precision of a Test Method\(^6\)

E 1012 Practice for Verification of Specimen Alignment Under Tensile Loading\(^5\)

3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions—The definitions of terms relating to tension testing appearing in Terminology E 6 shall be considered as applying to the terms used in these test methods of tension testing. Additional terms being defined are as follows:

3.1.1 **discontinuous yielding**—in a uniaxial test, a hesitation or fluctuation of force observed at the onset of plastic deformation, due to localized yielding. (The stress-strain curve need not appear to be discontinuous.)

3.1.2 **lower yield strength, LYS [FL\(^{−2}\)]**—in a uniaxial test, the minimum stress recorded during discontinuous yielding, ignoring transient effects.

3.1.3 **upper yield strength, UYS [FL\(^{−2}\)]**—in a uniaxial test, the first stress maximum (stress at first zero slope) associated with discontinuous yielding at or near the onset of plastic deformation.

3.1.4 **yield point elongation, YPE**— in a uniaxial test, the strain (expressed in percent) separating the stress-strain curve’s

---

\(^*\)A Summary of Changes section appears at the end of this standard.
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first point of zero slope from the point of transition from discontinuous yielding to uniform strain hardening. If the transition occurs over a range of strain, the YPE end point is the intersection between (a) a horizontal line drawn tangent to the curve at the last zero slope and (b) a line drawn tangent to the strain hardening portion of the stress-strain curve at the point of inflection. If there is no point at or near the onset of yielding at which the slope reaches zero, the material has 0% YPE.

3.1.5 \textit{uniform elongation, EL_u, [%]}—the elongation determined at the maximum force sustained by the test piece just prior to necking or fracture, or both.

3.1.5.1 \textit{Discussion}—Uniform elongation includes both elastic and plastic elongation.

4. Significance and Use

4.1 Tension tests provide information on the strength and ductility of materials under uniaxial tensile stresses. This information may be useful in comparisons of materials, alloy development, quality control, and design under certain circumstances.

4.2 The results of tension tests of specimens machined to standardized dimensions from selected portions of a part or material may not totally represent the strength and ductility properties of the entire end product or its in-service behavior in different environments.

4.3 These test methods are considered satisfactory for acceptance testing of commercial shipments. The test methods have been used extensively in the trade for this purpose.

5. Apparatus

5.1 Testing Machines—Machines used for tension testing shall conform to the requirements of Practices E 4. The forces used in determining tensile strength and yield strength shall be within the verified force application range of the testing machine as defined in Practices E 4.

5.2 Gripping Devices:

5.2.1 General—Various types of gripping devices may be used to transmit the measured force applied by the testing machine to the test specimens. To ensure axial tensile stress within the gage length, the axis of the test specimen should coincide with the center line of the heads of the testing machine. Any departure from this requirement may introduce bending stresses that are not included in the usual stress computation (force divided by cross-sectional area).

\textit{Note} 5—The effect of this eccentric force application may be illustrated by calculating the bending moment and stress thus added. For a standard \(\frac{3}{8}\)-in. diameter specimen, the stress increase is 1.5 percentage points for each 0.001 in. of eccentricity. This error increases to 2.24 percentage points/0.001 in. for a 0.350-in. diameter specimen and to 3.17 percentage points/0.001 in. for a 0.250-in. diameter specimen.

\textit{Note} 6—Alignment methods are given in Practice E 1012.

5.2.2 Wedge Grips—Testing machines usually are equipped with wedge grips. These wedge grips generally furnish a satisfactory means of gripping long specimens of ductile metal and flat plate test specimens such as those shown in Fig. 1. If, however, for any reason, one grip of a pair advances farther than the other as the grips tighten, an undesirable bending stress may be introduced. When liners are used behind the wedges, they must be of the same thickness and their faces must be flat and parallel. For best results, the wedges should be supported over their entire lengths by the heads of the testing machine. This requires that liners of several thicknesses be available to cover the range of specimen thickness. For proper gripping, it is desirable that the entire length of the serrated face of each wedge be in contact with the specimen. Proper alignment of wedge grips and liners is illustrated in Fig. 2. For short specimens and for specimens of many materials it is generally necessary to use machined test specimens and to use a special means of gripping to ensure that the specimens, when under load, shall be as nearly as possible in uniformly distributed pure axial tension (see 5.2.3, 5.2.4, and 5.2.5).

5.2.3 Grips for Threaded and Shouldered Specimens and Brittle Materials—A schematic diagram of a gripping device for threaded-end specimens is shown in Fig. 3, while Fig. 4 shows a device for gripping specimens with shouldered ends. Both of these gripping devices should be attached to the heads of the testing machine through properly lubricated spherical-seated bearings. The distance between spherical bearings should be as great as feasible.

5.2.4 Grips for Sheet Materials—The self-adjusting grips shown in Fig. 5 have proven satisfactory for testing sheet materials that cannot be tested satisfactorily in the usual type of wedge grips.

5.2.5 Grips for Wire—Grips of either the wedge or snubbing types as shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 or flat wedge grips may be used.

5.3 Dimension-Measuring Devices—Micrometers and other devices used for measuring linear dimensions shall be accurate and precise to at least one half the smallest unit to which the individual dimension is required to be measured.

5.4 Extensometers—Extensometers used in tension testing shall conform to the requirements of Practice E 83 for the classifications specified by the procedure section of this test method. Extensometers shall be used and verified to include the strains corresponding to the yield strength and elongation at fracture (if determined).

5.4.1 Extensometers with gage lengths equal to or shorter than the nominal gage length of the specimen (dimension shown as “G-Gage Length” in the accompanying figures) may be used to determine the yield behavior. For specimens without a reduced section (for example, full cross sectional area specimens of wire, rod, or bar), the extensometer gage length for the determination of yield behavior shall not exceed 80% of the distance between grips. For measuring elongation at fracture with an appropriate extensometer, the gage length of the extensometer shall be equal to the nominal gage length required for the specimen being tested.

6. Test Specimens

6.1 General:

6.1.1 Specimen Size—Test specimens shall be either substantially full size or machined, as prescribed in the product specifications for the material being tested.

6.1.2 Location—Unless otherwise specified, the axis of the test specimen shall be located within the parent material as follows:
### Standard Specimens Subsize Specimen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Standard Specimens</th>
<th>Subsize Specimen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plate-Type, 1⅜-in. Wide</td>
<td>Sheet-Type, 1⅛-in. Wide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G—Gage length (Note 1 and Note 2)</td>
<td>8.00± 0.01</td>
<td>2.000± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W—Width (Note 3 and Note 4)</td>
<td>1⅝ +⅛ , −⅛</td>
<td>0.500± 0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T—Thickness (Note 5)</td>
<td>thickness of material</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R—Radius of fillet, min (Note 6)</td>
<td>⅛</td>
<td>⅛</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L—Over-all length, (Note 2, Note 7 and Note 8)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A—Length of reduced section, min</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2⅛</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B—Length of grip section, (Note 8)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C—Width of grip section, approximate (Note 4 and Note 9)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>⅛</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE 1**—For the 1⅞-in. wide specimen, punch marks for measuring elongation after fracture shall be made on the flat or on the edge of the specimen and within the reduced section. Either a set of nine or more punch marks 1 in. apart, or one or more pairs of punch marks 8 in. apart may be used.

**NOTE 2**—When elongation measurements of 1⅞-in. wide specimens are not required, a minimum length of reduced section (A) of 2⅛ in. may be used with all other dimensions similar to those of the plate-type specimen.

**NOTE 3**—For the three sizes of specimens, the ends of the reduced section shall not differ in width by more than 0.004, 0.002 or 0.001 in., respectively. Also, there may be a gradual decrease in width from the ends to the center, but the width at each end shall not be more than 0.015, 0.005, or 0.003 in., respectively, larger than the width at the center.

**NOTE 4**—For each of the three sizes of specimens, narrower widths (W and C) may be used when necessary. In such cases the width of the reduced section should be as large as the width of the material being tested permits; however, unless stated specifically, the requirements for elongation in a product specification shall not apply when these narrower specimens are used.

**NOTE 5**—The dimension T is the thickness of the test specimen as provided for in the applicable material specifications. Minimum thickness of 1⅞-in. wide specimens shall be ⅛ in. Maximum thickness of ⅛-in. and ⅛-in. wide specimens shall be ⅛ in. and ⅛ in., respectively.

**NOTE 6**—For the 1⅞-in. wide specimen, a ⅛-in. minimum radius at the ends of the reduced section is permitted for steel specimens under 100 000 psi in tensile strength when a profile cutter is used to machine the reduced section.

**NOTE 7**—The dimension shown is suggested as a minimum. In determining the minimum length, the grips must not extend into the transition section between Dimensions A and B, see Note 9.

**NOTE 8**—To aid in obtaining axial force application during testing of ⅛-in. wide specimens, the over-all length should be as large as the material will permit, up to 8.00 in.

**NOTE 9**—It is desirable, if possible, to make the length of the grip section large enough to allow the specimen to extend into the grips a distance equal to two thirds or more of the length of the grips. If the thickness of ⅛-in. wide specimens is over ¾ in., longer grips and correspondingly longer grip sections of the specimen may be necessary to prevent failure in the grip section.

**NOTE 10**—For the three sizes of specimens, the ends of the specimen shall be symmetrical in width with the center line of the reduced section within 0.10, 0.05 and 0.005 in., respectively. However, for referee testing and when required by product specifications, the ends of the ⅛-in. wide specimen shall be symmetrical within 0.01 in.

**NOTE 11**—For each specimen type, the radii of all fillets shall be equal to each other within a tolerance of 0.05 in., and the centers of curvature of the two fillets at a particular end shall be located across from each other (on a line perpendicular to the centerline) within a tolerance of 0.10 in.

**NOTE 12**—Specimens with sides parallel throughout their length are permitted, except for referee testing, provided: (a) the above tolerances are used; (b) an adequate number of marks are provided for determination of elongation; and (c) when yield strength is determined, a suitable extensometer is used. If the fracture occurs at a distance of less than 2W from the edge of the gripping device, the tensile properties determined may not be representative of the material. In acceptance testing, if the properties meet the minimum requirements specified, no further testing is required, but if they are less than the minimum requirements, discard the test and retest.

**FIG. 1 Rectangular Tension Test Specimens**

6.1.2.1 At the center for products 1⅜ in. or less in thickness, diameter, or distance between flats.

6.1.2.2 Midway from the center to the surface for products over 1⅜ in. in thickness, diameter, or distance between flats.

6.1.3 Specimen Machining—Improperly prepared test specimens often are the reason for unsatisfactory and incorrect test results. It is important, therefore, that care be exercised in the preparation of specimens, particularly in the machining, to maximize precision and minimize bias in test results.

6.1.3.1 The reduced sections of prepared specimens should be free of cold work, notches, chatter marks, grooves, gouges, burrs, rough surfaces or edges, overheating, or any other condition which can deleteriously affect the properties to be measured.

**NOTE 7**—Punching or blanking of the reduced section may produce significant cold work or shear burrs, or both, along the edges which should be removed by machining.
6.1.3.2 Within the reduced section of rectangular specimens, edges or corners should not be ground or abraded in a manner which could cause the actual cross-sectional area of the specimen to be significantly different from the calculated area.

6.1.3.3 For brittle materials, large radius fillets at the ends of the gage length should be used.

6.1.3.4 The cross-sectional area of the specimen should be smallest at the center of the reduced section to ensure fracture within the gage length. For this reason, a small taper is permitted in the reduced section of each of the specimens described in the following sections.

6.1.4 Specimen Surface Finish—When materials are tested with surface conditions other than as manufactured, the surface finish of the test specimens should be as provided in the applicable product specifications.
NOTE 8—Particular attention should be given to the uniformity and quality of surface finish of specimens for high strength and very low ductility materials since this has been shown to be a factor in the variability of test results.

6.2 Plate-Type Specimens—The standard plate-type test specimen is shown in Fig. 1. This specimen is used for testing metallic materials in the form of plate, shapes, and flat material having a nominal thickness of \( \frac{3}{16} \) in. or over. When product specifications so permit, other types of specimens may be used, as provided in 6.2, 6.4, and 6.5.

6.3 Sheet-Type Specimens:

6.3.1 The standard sheet-type test specimen is shown in Fig. 1. This specimen is used for testing metallic materials in the form of sheet, plate, flat wire, strip, band, hoop, rectangles, and shapes ranging in nominal thickness from 0.005 to \( \frac{3}{4} \) in. When product specifications so permit, other types of specimens may be used, as provided in 6.3, 6.4, and 6.5.

6.4 Round Specimens:

6.4.1 The standard 0.500-in. diameter round test specimen shown in Fig. 8 is used quite generally for testing metallic materials, both cast and wrought.

6.4.2 Fig. 8 also shows small-size specimens proportional to the standard specimen. These may be used when it is necessary to test material from which the standard specimen or specimens shown in Fig. 1 cannot be prepared. Other sizes of small round specimens may be used. In any such small-size specimen it is important that the gage length for measurement of elongation be four times the diameter of the specimen.

6.4.3 The shape of the ends of the specimen outside of the gage length shall be suitable to the material and of a shape to fit the holders or grips of the testing machine so that the forces may be applied axially. Fig. 9 shows specimens with various types of ends that have given satisfactory results.

6.5 Specimens for Sheet, Strip, Flat Wire, and Plate—In testing sheet, strip, flat wire, and plate, use a specimen type appropriate for the nominal thickness of the material, as described in the following:

6.5.1 For material with a nominal thickness of 0.0005-0.1875 in., use the sheet-type specimen described in 6.3.

---

### Table 1: Dimensions of Round Specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Gage length</td>
<td>2.000 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Width (Note 1)</td>
<td>0.500 ± 0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Thickness, max (Note 2)</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{6} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Radius of fillet, min (Note 3)</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Over-all length, min</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Length of reduced section, min</td>
<td>2( \frac{1}{4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Length of grip section, min</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Width of grip section, approximate</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Diameter of hole for pin, min (Note 4)</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{6} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Edge distance from pin, approximate</td>
<td>1( \frac{1}{2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Distance from hole to fillet, min</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note 1**—The ends of the reduced section shall differ in width by not more than 0.002 in. There may be a gradual taper in width from the ends to the center, but the width at each end shall be not more than 0.005 in. greater than the width at the center.

**Note 2**—The dimension \( T \) is the thickness of the test specimen as stated in the applicable product specifications.

**Note 3**—For some materials, a fillet radius \( R \) larger than \( \frac{1}{2} \) in. may be needed.

**Note 4**—Holes must be on center line of reduced section, within ±0.002 in.

**Note 5**—Variations of dimensions \( C, D, E, F, \) and \( L \) may be used that will permit failure within the gage length.

---

**FIG. 7 Pin-Loaded Tension Test Specimen with 2-in. Gage Length**
For material with a nominal thickness of 0.1875–0.750 in., use either the sheet-type specimen of 6.3 or the plate-type specimen of 6.2.

6.5.3 For material with a nominal thickness of 0.500–0.750 in., use either the sheet-type specimen of 6.3, the plate-type specimen of 6.2, or the largest practical size of round specimen described in 6.4.

6.5.4 For material with a nominal thickness of 0.750 in., or greater, use the plate-type specimen of paragraph 6.2 or the largest practical size of round specimen described in 6.4.

6.5.4.1 If the product specifications permit, material of a thickness of 0.750 in., or greater may be tested using a modified sheet-type specimen conforming to the configuration shown by Fig. 2. The thickness of this modified specimen must be machined to 0.400 +/− 0.020 in., and must be uniform within 0.004 in. throughout the reduced section. In the event of disagreement, a round specimen shall be used as the referee specimen.

6.6 Specimens for Wire, Rod, and Bar:

6.6.1 For round wire, rod, and bar, test specimens having the full cross-sectional area of the wire, rod, or bar shall be used wherever practicable. The gage length for the measurement of elongation of wire less than 1/8 in. in diameter shall be as prescribed in product specifications. In testing wire, rod, or bar that has a 1/8-in. or larger diameter, unless otherwise specified, a gage length equal to four times the diameter shall be used. The total length of the specimen shall be at least equal to the gage length plus the length of material required for the full use of the grips employed.

6.6.2 For wire of octagonal, hexagonal, or square cross section, for rod or bar of round cross section where the specimen required in 6.6.1 is not practicable, and for rod or bar of octagonal, hexagonal, or square cross section, one of the following types of specimens shall be used:

6.6.2.1 Full Cross Section (Note 10)—It is permissible to reduce the test section slightly with abrasive cloth or paper, or machine it sufficiently to ensure fracture within the gage marks. For material not exceeding 0.188 in. in diameter or distance between flats, the cross-sectional area may be reduced to not less than 90 % of the original area without changing the shape of the cross section. For material over 0.188 in. in diameter or distance between flats, the diameter or distance between flats may be reduced by not more than 0.010 in. without changing the shape of the cross section. Square, hexagonal, or octagonal wire or rod not exceeding 0.188 in. between flats may be turned to a round having a cross-sectional area not smaller than 90 % of the area of the maximum inscribed circle. Fillets, preferably with a radius of 3/16 in., but not less than 1/8 in., shall be used at the ends of the reduced sections. Square, hexagonal, or octagonal rod over 0.188 in.
between flats may be turned to a round having a diameter no smaller than 0.010 in. larger than the original distance between flats.

**Note 10**—The ends of copper or copper alloy specimens may be flattened 10 to 50 % from the original dimension in a jig similar to that shown in Fig. 10, to facilitate fracture within the gage marks. In flattening the opposite ends of the test specimen, care shall be taken to ensure that the four flattened surfaces are parallel and that the two parallel surfaces on the same side of the axis of the test specimen lie in the same plane.

6.6.2.2 For rod and bar, the largest practical size of round specimen as described in 6.4 may be used in place of a test specimen of full cross section. Unless otherwise specified in the product specification, specimens shall be parallel to the direction of rolling or extrusion.

6.7 **Specimens for Rectangular Bar**— In testing rectangular bar one of the following types of specimens shall be used:

**6.7.1 Full Cross Section**—It is permissible to reduce the width of the specimen throughout the test section with abrasive cloth or paper, or by machining sufficiently to facilitate fracture within the gage marks, but in no case shall the reduced width be less than 90 % of the original. The edges of the midlength of the reduced section not less than 3⁄4 in. in length shall be parallel to each other and to the longitudinal axis of the specimen within 0.002 in. Fillets, preferably with a radius of 3⁄8 in. but not less than 1⁄8 in. shall be used at the ends of the reduced sections.

6.7.2 Rectangular bar of thickness small enough to fit the grips of the testing machine but of too great width may be reduced in width by cutting to fit the grips, after which the cut surfaces shall be machined or cut and smoothed to ensure failure within the desired section. The reduced width shall be not less than the original bar thickness. Also, one of the types of specimens described in 6.2, 6.3, and 6.4 may be used.

6.8 **Shapes, Structural and Other**—In testing shapes other than those covered by the preceding sections, one of the types of specimens described in 6.2, 6.3, and 6.4 may be used.

6.9 **Specimens for Pipe and Tube** (Note 11):

6.9.1 For all small tube (Note 11), particularly sizes 1 in. and under in nominal outside diameter, and frequently for larger sizes, except as limited by the testing equipment, it is standard practice to use tension test specimens of full-size...
tubular sections. Snug-fitting metal plugs shall be inserted far enough into the ends of such tubular specimens to permit the testing machine jaws to grip the specimens properly. The plugs shall not extend into that part of the specimen on which the elongation is measured. Elongation is measured over a length of 4D unless otherwise stated in the product specification. Fig. 11 shows a suitable form of plug, the location of the plugs in the specimen, and the location of the specimen in the grips of the testing machine.

**NOTE 11**—The term “tube” is used to indicate tubular products in general, and includes pipe, tube, and tubing.

6.9.2 For large-diameter tube that cannot be tested in full section, longitudinal tension test specimens shall be cut as indicated in Fig. 12. Specimens from welded tube shall be located approximately 90° from the weld. If the tube-wall thickness is under 3/4 in., either a specimen of the form and dimensions shown in Fig. 13 or one of the small-size specimens proportional to the standard 1/2-in. specimen, as mentioned in 6.4.2 and shown in Fig. 8, shall be used. Specimens of the type shown in Fig. 13 may be tested with grips having a surface contour corresponding to the curvature of the tube. When grips with curved faces are not available, the ends of the specimens may be flattened without heating. If the tube-wall thickness is 3/4 in. or over, the standard specimen shown in Fig. 8 shall be used.

**NOTE 12**—In clamping of specimens from pipe and tube (as may be done during machining) or in flattening specimen ends (for gripping), care must be taken so as not to subject the reduced section to any deformation or cold work, as this would alter the mechanical properties.

6.9.3 Transverse tension test specimens for tube may be taken from rings cut from the ends of the tube as shown in Fig. 14. Flattening of the specimen may be either after separating as in A, or before separating as in B. Transverse tension test specimens for large tube under 3/4 in. in wall thickness shall be either of the small-size specimens shown in Fig. 8 or of the form and dimensions shown for Specimen 2 in Fig. 13. When using the latter specimen, either or both surfaces of the specimen may be machined to secure a uniform thickness, provided not more than 15 % of the normal wall thickness is removed from each surface. For large tube 3/4 in. and over in wall thickness, the standard specimen shown in Fig. 8 shall be used for transverse tension tests. Specimens for transverse tension tests on large welded tube to determine the strength of welds shall be located perpendicular to the welded seams, with the welds at about the middle of their lengths.

6.10 **Specimens for Forgings**—For testing forgings, the largest round specimen described in 6.4 shall be used. If round specimens are not feasible, then the largest specimen described in 6.5 shall be used.

6.10.1 For forgings, specimens shall be taken as provided in the applicable product specifications, either from the predominant or thickest part of the forging from which a coupon can be obtained, or from a prolongation of the forging, or from separately forged coupons representative of the forging. When not otherwise specified, the axis of the specimen shall be parallel to the direction of grain flow.

6.11 **Specimens for Castings**—In testing castings either the standard specimen shown in Fig. 8 or the specimen shown in Fig. 15 shall be used unless otherwise provided in the product specifications.

6.11.1 Test coupons for castings shall be made as shown in Fig. 16 and Table 1.

6.12 **Specimen for Malleable Iron**—For testing malleable iron the test specimen shown in Fig. 17 shall be used, unless otherwise provided in the product specifications.

6.13 **Specimen for Die Castings**—For testing die castings the test specimen shown in Fig. 18 shall be used unless otherwise provided in the product specifications.

6.14 **Specimens for Powder Metallurgy (P/M) Materials**—For testing powder metallurgy (P/M) materials the test specimens shown in Fig. 19 and Fig. 20 shall be used, unless otherwise provided in the product specifications. When making test specimens in accordance with Fig. 19, shallow transverse grooves, or ridges, may be pressed in the ends to allow gripping by jaws machined to fit the grooves or ridges. Because of shape and other factors, the flat unmachined tensile test specimen (Fig. 19) in the heat treated condition will have an ultimate tensile strength of 50 % to 85 % of that determined in a machined round tensile test specimen (Fig. 20) of like composition and processing.
7. Procedures

7.1 Preparation of the Test Machine— Upon startup, or following a prolonged period of machine inactivity, the test machine should be exercised or warmed up to normal operating temperatures to minimize errors that may result from transient conditions.

7.2 Measurement of Dimensions of Test Specimens:

7.2.1 To determine the cross-sectional area of a test specimen, measure the dimensions of the cross section at the center of the reduced section. For referee testing of specimens under 

\[
\frac{3}{16} \text{ in. in their least dimension, measure the dimensions where the least cross-sectional area is found. Measure and record the cross-sectional dimensions of tension test specimens 0.200 in. and over to the nearest 0.005 in.; the cross-sectional dimensions from 0.100 in. but less than 0.200 in., to the nearest 0.005 in.; the cross-sectional dimensions from 0.020 in. but less than 0.100 in., to the nearest 0.0005 in.; and when practical, the cross-sectional dimensions less than 0.020 in., to at least the nearest 1% but in all cases to at least the nearest 0.0001 in.}
\]

NOTE 13—Accurate and precise measurement of specimen dimensions can be one of the most critical aspects of tension testing, depending on specimen geometry. See Appendix X2 for additional information.

NOTE 14—Rough surfaces due to the manufacturing process such as hot rolling, metallic coating, etc., may lead to inaccuracy of the computed areas greater than the measured dimensions would indicate. Therefore, cross-sectional dimensions of test specimens with rough surfaces due to processing may be measured and recorded to the nearest 0.001 in.

NOTE 15—See X2.9 for cautionary information on measurements taken...
If \( D/W > 6 \), the exact equation or the following equation may be used:

\[
A = W \times T
\]

where:

- \( A \) = approximate cross-sectional area, in.\(^2\),
- \( W \) = width of the specimen in the reduced section, in., and
- \( T \) = measured wall thickness of the specimen, in.

Note 16—See X2.8 for cautionary information on measurements and calculations for specimens taken from large-diameter tubing.

### 7.3 Gage Length Marking of Test Specimens:

#### 7.3.1 The gage length for the determination of elongation shall be in accordance with the product specifications for the material being tested. Gage marks shall be stamped lightly with a punch, scribed lightly with dividers or drawn with ink as preferred. For material that is sensitive to the effect of slight notches and for small specimens, the use of layout ink will aid in locating the original gage marks after fracture.

#### 7.3.2 For materials where the specified elongation is 3 % or less, measure the original gage length to the nearest 0.002 in. prior to testing.

### 7.4 Zeroing of the Testing Machine:

#### 7.4.1 The testing machine shall be set up in such a manner that zero force indication signifies a state of zero force on the specimen. Any force (or preload) imparted by the gripping of the specimen (see Note 17) must be indicated by the force measuring system unless the preload is physically removed prior to testing. Artificial methods of removing the preload on the specimen, such as taring it out by a zero adjust pot or removing it mathematically by software, are prohibited because these would affect the accuracy of the test results.

#### 7.4.2 Zero force indication signifies a state of zero force on the specimen, such as taring it out by a zero adjust pot or removing it mathematically by software, are prohibited because these would affect the accuracy of the test results.

### 7.5 Gripping of the Test Specimen:

#### 7.5.1 For specimens with reduced sections, gripping of the specimen shall be restricted to the grip section, because gripping in the reduced section or in the fillet can significantly affect test results.

### 7.6 Speed of Testing:

#### 7.6.1 Speed of testing may be defined in terms of (a) rate of straining of the specimen, (b) rate of stressing of the specimen, (c) rate of separation of the two heads of the testing machine during a test, (d) the elapsed time for completing part or all of the test, or (e) free-running crosshead speed (rate of movement of the crosshead of the testing machine when not under load).

### 7.6.2 Specifying suitable numerical limits for speed and selection of the method are the responsibilities of the product committees. Suitable limits for speed of testing should be specified for materials for which the differences resulting from the use of different speeds are of such magnitude that the test...
results are unsatisfactory for determining the acceptability of the material. In such instances, depending upon the material and the use for which the test results are intended, one or more of the methods described in the following paragraphs is recommended for specifying speed of testing.

Note 19—Speed of testing can affect test values because of the rate sensitivity of materials and the temperature-time effects.

7.6.2.1 Rate of Straining—The allowable limits for rate of straining shall be specified in inches per inch per minute. Some testing machines are equipped with pacing or indicating...
devices for the measurement and control of rate of straining, but in the absence of such a device the average rate of straining can be determined with a timing device by observing the time required to effect a known increment of strain.

7.6.2.2 Rate of Stressing—The allowable limits for rate of stressing shall be specified in pounds per square inch per minute. Many testing machines are equipped with pacing or indicating devices for the measurement and control of the rate of stressing, but in the absence of such a device the average rate of stressing can be determined with a timing device by observing the time required to apply a known increment of stress.

7.6.2.3 Rate of Separation of Heads During Tests—The allowable limits for rate of separation of the heads of the testing machine, during a test, shall be specified in inches per inch of length of reduced section (or distance between grips for specimens not having reduced sections) per minute. The limits for the rate of separation may be further qualified by specifying different limits for various types and sizes of specimens. Many

7.6.2.4 Elapsed Time—The allowable limits for the elapsed time from the beginning of force application (or from some specified stress) to the instant of fracture, to the maximum force, or to some other stated stress, shall be specified in minutes or seconds. The elapsed time can be determined with a timing device.

7.6.2.5 Free-Running Crosshead Speed—The allowable limits for the rate of movement of the crosshead of the testing machine, with no force applied by the testing machine, shall be specified in inches per inch of length of reduced section (or distance between grips for specimens not having reduced sections) per minute. The limits for the crosshead speed may be further qualified by specifying different limits for various types

---

**FIG. 17 Standard Tension Test Specimen for Malleable Iron**

---

**FIG. 18 Standard Tension Test Specimens for Die Castings**

---

**Dimensions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Radius of fillet</td>
<td>%5/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Length of reduced section</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Over-all length</td>
<td>%7/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Length of end section</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Diameter of end section</td>
<td>%3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Length of fillet</td>
<td>%3/16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE 1**—The reduced section may have a gradual taper from the end toward the center, with the ends not more than 0.005 in. larger in diameter than the center.
and sizes of specimens. The average crosshead speed can be experimentally determined by using suitable length-measuring and timing devices.

**Note 20**—For machines not having crossheads or having stationary crossheads, the phrase “free-running crosshead speed” may be interpreted to mean the free-running rate of grip separation.

7.6.3 Speed of Testing When Determining Yield Properties—Unless otherwise specified, any convenient speed of testing may be used up to one half the specified yield strength or up to one quarter the specified tensile strength, whichever is smaller. The speed above this point shall be within the limits specified. If different speed limitations are required for use in determining yield strength, yield point elongation, tensile strength, elongation, and reduction of area, they should be stated in the product specifications. In the absence of any specified limitations on speed of testing, the following general rules shall apply:

**Note 21**—In the previous and following paragraphs, the yield properties referred to include yield strength and yield point elongation.

7.6.3.1 The speed of testing shall be such that the forces and strains used in obtaining the test results are accurately indicated.

7.6.3.2 When performing a test to determine yield properties, the rate of stress application shall be between 10 000 and 100 000 psi/min.

**Note 22**—When a specimen being tested begins to yield, the stressing rate decreases and may even become negative in the case of a specimen with discontinuous yielding. To maintain a constant stressing rate would require the testing machine to operate at extremely high speeds and, in many cases, this is not practical. The speed of the testing machine shall not be increased in order to maintain a stressing rate when the specimen begins to yield. In practice, it is simpler to use either a strain rate, a rate of separation of the heads through the region that yield properties are determined. While both of these methods will provide similar rates of deformation of the testing machine, before and after the onset of yielding. As another example, find a rate of separation of the heads through experimentation which would approximate the desired stressing rate. As an example, use a strain rate that is less than 100 000 psi divided by the nominal Young’s Modulus of the material being tested. As another example, find a rate of separation of the heads through experimentation which would approximate the desired stressing rate prior to the onset of yielding, and maintain that rate of separation of the heads through the region that yield properties are determined. While both of these methods will provide similar rates of stressing and straining prior to the onset of yielding, the rates of stressing and straining may be different in the region where yield properties are determined. This difference is due to the change in the rate of elastic deformation of the testing machine, before and after the onset of yielding. In addition, the use of any of the methods other than rate of straining may result in different stressing and straining rates when using different testing machines, due to differences in the stiffness of the testing machines used.

7.6.4 Speed of Testing When Determining Tensile Strength—In the absence of any specified limitations on speed of testing, the following general rules shall apply for materials with expected elongations greater than 5 %. When determining only the tensile strength, or after the yield behavior has been
recorded, the speed of the testing machine shall be set between 0.05 and 0.5 in./in. of the length of the reduced section (or distance between the grips for specimens not having a reduced section) per minute. Alternatively, an extensometer and strain rate indicator may be used to set the strain rate between 0.05 and 0.5 in./in./min.  

NOTE 23—For materials with expected elongations less than or equal to 5 %, the speed of the testing machine may be maintained throughout the test at the speed used to determine yield properties.  

NOTE 24—Tensile strength and elongation are sensitive to test speed for many materials (see Appendix X1) to the extent that variations within the range of test speeds given above can significantly affect results.

7.7 Determination of Yield Strength—Determine yield strength by any of the methods described in 7.7.1 to 7.7.4. Where extensometers are employed, use only those which are verified over a strain range in which the yield strength will be determined (see 5.4).  

NOTE 25—For example, a verified strain range of 0.2 % to 2.0 % is appropriate for use in determining the yield strengths of many metals.  

NOTE 26—Determination of yield behavior on materials which cannot support an appropriate extensometer (thin wire, for example) is problematic and outside the scope of this standard.

7.7.1 Offset Method—To determine the yield strength by the offset method, it is necessary to secure data (autographic or numerical) from which a stress-strain diagram may be drawn. Then on the stress-strain diagram (Fig. 21) lay off Om equal to the specified value of the offset, draw mn parallel to OA, and thus locate r, the intersection of mn with the stress-strain diagram (Note 32). In reporting values of yield strength obtained by this method, the specified value of offset used should be stated in parentheses after the term yield strength. Thus:

\[
\text{Yield strength (offset = 0.2 \%) = 52,000 psi (3)}
\]

In using this method, a Class B2 or better extensometer (see Practice E 83) shall be used.

NOTE 27—There are two general types of extensometers, averaging and non-averaging, the use of which is dependent on the product tested. For most machined specimens, there are minimal differences. However, for some forgings and tube sections, significant differences in measured yield strength can occur. For these cases, it is recommended that the averaging type be used.

NOTE 28—When there is a disagreement over yield properties, the offset method for determining yield strength is recommended as the referee method.

7.7.2 Extension-Under-Load Method—Yield strength by the extension-under-load method may be determined by: (1) using autographic or numerical devices to secure stress-strain data, and then analyzing this data (graphically or using automated methods) to determine the stress value at the specified value of extension, or (2) using devices that indicate when the specified extension occurs, so that the stress then occurring may be ascertained (Note 30). Any of these devices may be automatic. This method is illustrated in Fig. 22. The stress at the specified extension shall be reported as follows:

\[
\text{yield strength (EUL = 0.5 \%) = 52,000 psi (4)}
\]

Extensometers and other devices used in determination of the extension shall meet Class B2 requirements (see Practice E 83) at the strain of interest, except where use of low-magnification Class C devices is helpful, such as in facilitating measurement of YPE, if observed. If Class C devices are used, this must be reported along with the results.

NOTE 29—The appropriate value of the total extension must be specified. For steels with nominal yield strengths of less than 80,000 psi, an appropriate value is 0.005 in./in. (0.5 %) of the gage length. For higher strength steels, a greater extension or the offset method should be used.

NOTE 30—When no other means of measuring elongation are available, a pair of dividers or similar device can be used to determine a point of detectable elongation between two gage marks on the specimen. The gage...
length shall be 2 in. The stress corresponding to the load at the instant of
detectable elongation may be recorded as the approximate extension-
under-load yield strength.

7.7.3 Autographic Diagram Method (for materials exhibiting discontinuous yielding)—Obtain stress-strain (or force-elongation) data or construct a stress-strain (or load-elongation) diagram using an autographic device. Determine the upper or lower yield strength as follows:

7.7.3.1 Record the stress corresponding to the maximum force at the onset of discontinuous yielding as the upper yield strength. This is illustrated in Fig. 23 and Fig. 24.

Note 31—If multiple peaks are observed at the onset of discontinuous yielding, the first is considered the upper yield strength. (See Fig. 24.)

7.7.3.2 Record the minimum stress observed during discontinuous yielding (ignoring transient effects) as the lower yield strength. This is illustrated in Fig. 24.

Note 32—Yield properties of materials exhibiting yield point elongation are often less repeatable and less reproducible than those of similar materials having no YPE. Offset and EUL yield strengths may be significantly affected by force fluctuations occurring in the region where the offset or extension intersects the stress-strain curve. Determination of upper or lower yield strengths (or both) may therefore be preferable for such materials, although these properties are dependent on variables such as test machine stiffness and alignment. Speed of testing may also have a significant effect, regardless of the method employed.

Note 33—Where low-magnification autographic recordings are needed to facilitate measurement of yield point elongation for materials which may exhibit discontinuous yielding, Class C extensometers may be employed. When this is done but the material exhibits no discontinuous yielding, the extension-under-load yield strength may be determined instead, using the autographic recording (see Extension-Under-Load Method).

7.7.4 Halt-of-the-Force Method (for materials exhibiting discontinuous yielding)—Apply an increasing force to the specimen at a uniform deformation rate. When the force hesitates, record the corresponding stress as the upper yield strength.

Note 34—The Halt-of-the-Force Method was formerly known as the Halt-of-the-Pointer Method, the Drop-of-the-Beam Method, and the Halt-of-the-Load Method.

7.8 Yield Point Elongation—Calculate the yield point elongation from the stress-strain diagram or data by determining the difference in strain between the upper yield strength (first zero slope) and the onset of uniform strain hardening (see definition of YPE and Fig. 24).

Note 35—The stress-strain curve of a material exhibiting only a hint of the behavior causing YPE may have an inflection at the onset of yielding with no point where the slope reaches zero (Fig. 25). Such a material has no YPE, but may be characterized as exhibiting an inflection. Materials exhibiting inflections, like those with measurable YPE, may in certain applications acquire an unacceptable surface appearance during forming.

7.9 Uniform Elongation (if required):
7.9.1 Uniform elongation shall include both plastic and elastic elongation.
7.9.2 Uniform elongation shall be determined using automatical methods with extensometers conforming to Practice E 83. Use a class B2 or better extensometer for materials having a uniform elongation less than 5%. Use a class C or better extensometer for materials having a uniform elongation greater than or equal to 5% but less than 50%. Use a class D or better extensometer for materials having a uniform elongation of 50% or greater.

7.9.3 Determine the uniform elongation as the elongation at the point of maximum force from the force elongation data collected during a test.

7.9.3.1 Some materials exhibit a yield point followed by considerable elongation where the yield point is the maximum force achieved during the test. In this case, uniform elongation is not determined at the yield point, but instead at the highest force occurring just prior to necking (see Fig. 26).

7.9.3.2 Some materials exhibit a plateau at the maximum force. When this occurs, uniform elongation is determined at the center of the plateau as indicated in Fig. 27. If the uniform elongation is being determined digitally, the uniform elongation is the average of the elongation at the first and last occurrence of maximum force.

7.10 Tensile Strength—Calculate the tensile strength by dividing the maximum force carried by the specimen during the tension test by the original cross-sectional area of the specimen.

Note: 36—If the upper yield strength is the maximum stress recorded, and if the stress-strain curve resembles that of Fig. 26, it is recommended that the maximum stress after discontinuous yielding be reported as the tensile strength. Where this may occur, determination of the tensile strength should be in accordance with the agreement between the parties involved.

7.11 Elongation:

7.11.1 In reporting values of elongation, give both the original gage length and the percentage increase. If any device other than an extensometer is placed in contact with the specimen’s reduced section during the test, this also shall be noted.

Example: elongation = 30 % increase (2-in. gage length) (5)

Note: 37—Elongation results are very sensitive to variables such as: (a) speed of testing, (b) specimen geometry (gage length, diameter, width, and thickness), (c) heat dissipation (through grips, extensometers, or other devices in contact with the reduced section), (d) surface finish in reduced section (especially burrs or notches), (e) alignment, and (f) fillets and tapers. Parties involved in comparison or conformance testing should standardize the above items, and it is recommended that use of ancillary devices (such as extensometer supports) which may remove heat from specimens be avoided. See Appendix X1. for additional information on the effects of these variables.

7.11.2 When the specified elongation is greater than 3%, fit ends of the fractured specimen together carefully and measure the distance between the gage marks to the nearest 0.01 in. for gage lengths of 2 in. and under, and to at least the nearest 0.5% of the gage length for gage lengths over 2 in. A percentage scale reading to 0.5% of the gage length may be used.

7.11.3 When the specified elongation is 3% or less, determine the elongation of the specimen using the following procedure, except that the procedure given in 7.11.2 may be used instead when the measured elongation is greater than 3%.

7.11.3.1 Prior to testing, measure the original gage length of the specimen to the nearest 0.002 in.

7.11.3.2 Remove partly torn fragments that will interfere with fitting together the ends of the fractured specimen or with making the final measurement.
7.11.3.3 Fit the fractured ends together with matched surfaces and apply a force along the axis of the specimen sufficient to close the fractured ends together. If desired, this force may then be removed carefully, provided the specimen remains intact.

**Note 38**—The use of a force of approximately 2000 psi has been found to give satisfactory results on test specimens of aluminum alloy.

7.11.3.4 Measure the final gage length to the nearest 0.002 in. and report the elongation to the nearest 0.2%.

7.11.4 Elongation measured per paragraph 7.11.2 or 7.11.3 may be affected by location of the fracture, relative to the marked gage length. If any part of the fracture occurs outside the gage marks or is located less than 25% of the elongated gage length from either gage mark, the elongation value obtained using that pair of gage marks may be abnormally low and non-representative of the material. If such an elongation measure is obtained in acceptance testing involving only a minimum requirement and meets the requirement, no further testing need be done. Otherwise, discard the test and retest the material.

7.11.5 Elongation at fracture is defined as the elongation measured just prior to the sudden decrease in force associated with fracture. For many ductile materials not exhibiting a sudden decrease in force, the elongation at fracture can be taken as the strain measured just prior to when the force falls below 10% of the maximum force encountered during the test.

7.11.5.1 Elongation at fracture shall include elastic and plastic elongation and may be determined with autographic or automated methods using extensometers verified over the strain range of interest (see 5.4). Use a class B2 or better extensometer for materials having less than 5% elongation, a class C or better extensometer for materials having elongation greater than or equal to 5% but less than 50%, and a class D or better extensometer for materials having 50% or greater elongation. In all cases, the extensometer gage length shall be the nominal gage length required for the specimen being tested. Due to the lack of precision in fitting fractured ends together, the elongation after fracture using the manual methods of the preceding paragraphs may differ from the elongation at fracture determined with extensometers.

7.11.5.2 Percent elongation at fracture may be calculated directly from elongation at fracture data and be reported instead of percent elongation as calculated in paragraphs 7.11.2 to 7.11.3. However, these two parameters are not interchangeable. Use of the elongation at fracture method generally provides more repeatable results.

**Note 39**—When disagreements arise over the percent elongation results, agreement must be reached on which method to use to obtain the results.

7.12 Reduction of Area:

7.12.1 The reduced area used to calculate reduction of area (see 7.12.2 and 7.12.3) shall be the minimum cross section at the location of fracture.

7.12.2 *Specimens with Originally Circular Cross Sections*—Fit the ends of the fractured specimen together and measure the reduced diameter to the same accuracy as the original measurement.

7.12.3 *Specimens with Originally Rectangular Cross Sections*—Fit the ends of the fractured specimen together and measure the thickness and width at the minimum cross section to the same accuracy as the original measurements.

**Note 40**—Because of anisotropy, circular cross sections often do not remain circular during straining in tension. The shape is usually elliptical, thus, the area may be calculated by \[ \pi \cdot \frac{d_1 \cdot d_2}{4} \], where \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) are the major and minor diameters, respectively.

7.12.4 Calculate the reduced area based upon the dimensions determined in 7.12.2 or 7.12.3. The difference between the area thus found and the area of the original cross section expressed as a percentage of the original area is the reduction of area.

7.12.5 If any part of the fracture takes place outside the middle half of the reduced section or in a punched or scribed gage mark within the reduced section, the reduction of area value obtained may not be representative of the material. In acceptance testing, if the reduction of area so calculated meets the minimum requirements specified, no further testing is required, but if the reduction of area is less than the minimum requirements, discard the test results and retest.

7.12.6 Results of measurements of reduction of area shall be rounded using the procedures of Practice E 29 and any specific procedures in the product specifications. In the absence of a specified procedure, it is recommended that reduction of area test values in the range from 0 to 10% be rounded to the nearest 0.5% and test values of 10% and greater to the nearest 1%.

7.13 Rounding Reported Test Data for Yield Strength and Tensile Strength—Test data should be rounded using the procedures of Practice E 29 and the specific procedures in the product specifications. In the absence of a specified procedure for rounding the test data, one of the procedures described in the following paragraphs is recommended.

7.13.1 For test values up to 50 000 psi, round to the nearest 100 psi; for test values of 50 000 psi and up to 100 000 psi, round to the nearest 500 psi; for test values of 100 000 psi and greater, round to the nearest 1000 psi.

**Note 42**—For steel products, see Test Methods and Definitions A 370.

7.13.2 For all test values, round to the nearest 100 psi.

**Note 43**—For aluminum- and magnesium-alloy products, see Methods B 557.

7.13.3 For all test values, round to the nearest 500 psi.

7.14 Replacement of Specimens—A test specimen may be discarded and a replacement specimen selected from the same lot of material in the following cases:

7.14.1 The original specimen had a poorly machined surface,

7.14.2 The original specimen had the wrong dimensions,

7.14.3 The specimen’s properties were changed because of poor machining practice,
7.14.4 The test procedure was incorrect,
7.14.5 The fracture was outside the gage length,
7.14.6 For elongation determinations, the fracture was outside the middle half of the gage length, or
7.14.7 There was a malfunction of the testing equipment.

NOTE 44—The tension specimen is inappropriate for assessing some types of imperfections in a material. Other methods and specimens employing ultrasonics, dye penetrants, radiography, etc., may be considered when flaws such as cracks, flakes, porosity, etc., are revealed during a test and soundness is a condition of acceptance.

8. Report

8.1 Test information on materials not covered by a product specification should be reported in accordance with 8.2 or both 8.2 and 8.3.
8.2 Test information to be reported shall include the following when applicable:
8.2.1 Material and sample identification.
8.2.2 Specimen type (see Section 6).
8.2.3 Yield strength and the method used to determine yield strength (see 7.7).
8.2.4 Yield point elongation (see 7.8).
8.2.5 Tensile strength (see 7.10).
8.2.6 Elongation (report original gage length, percentage increase, and method used to determine elongation) (see 7.11).
8.2.7 Reduction of area, if required (see 7.12).
8.2.8 Equation used to calculate cross-sectional area of rectangular specimens taken from large-diameter tubular products.
8.3.1 Specimen test section dimension(s).
8.3.2 Equation used to calculate cross-sectional area of rectangular specimens taken from large-diameter tubular products.
8.3.3 Speed and method used to determine speed of testing (see 7.6).
8.3.4 Method used for rounding of test results (see 7.13).
8.3.5 Reasons for replacement specimens (see 7.14).

9. Precision and Bias

9.1 Precision—An interlaboratory test program gave the following values for coefficients of variation for the most commonly measured tensile properties:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>CV % r</th>
<th>CV % R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Strength</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield Strength</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offset = 0.02 %</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation Gage Length= 4 Diameter</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of Area</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.1.1 The values shown are the averages from tests on six frequently tested metals, selected to include most of the normal range for each property listed above. When these materials are compared, a large difference in coefficient of variation is found. Therefore, the values above should not be used to judge whether the difference between duplicate tests of a specific material is larger than expected. The values are provided to allow potential users of this test method to assess, in general terms, its usefulness for a proposed application.

9.2 Bias—The procedures in Test Methods E 8 for measuring tensile properties have no bias because these properties can be defined only in terms of a test method.

10. Keywords

10.1 accuracy; bending stress; discontinuous yielding; drop-of-the-beam; eccentric force application; elastic extension; elongation; extension-under-load; extensometer; force; free-running crosshead speed; gage length; halt-of-the-force; percent elongation; plastic extension; preload; rate of stressing; rate of straining; reduced section; reduction of area; sensitivity; strain; stress; taring; tensile strength; tension testing; yield point elongation; yield strength

APPENDIXES

X1. FACTORS AFFECTING TENSION TEST RESULTS

X1.1 The precision and bias of tension test strength and ductility measurements depend on strict adherence to the stated test procedure and are influenced by instrumental and material factors, specimen preparation, and measurement/testing errors.

X1.2 The consistency of agreement for repeated tests of the same material is dependent on the homogeneity of the material, and the repeatability of specimen preparation, test conditions, and measurements of the tension test parameters.

X1.3 Instrumental factors that can affect test results include: the stiffness, damping capacity, natural frequency, and mass of moving parts of the tensile test machine; accuracy of force indication and use of forces within the verified range of the machine; rate of force application, alignment of the test specimen with the applied force, parallelism of the grips, grip pressure, nature of the force control used, appropriateness and calibration of extensometers, heat dissipation (by grips, extensometers, or ancillary devices), and so forth.

X1.4 Material factors that can affect test results include: representativeness and homogeneity of the test material, sampling scheme, and specimen preparation (surface finish, dimensional accuracy, fillets at the ends of the gage length, taper in
X1.4.1 Some materials are very sensitive to the quality of the surface finish of the test specimen (see Note 8) and must be ground to a fine finish, or polished to obtain correct results.

X1.4.2 Test results for specimens with as-cast, as-rolled, as-forged, or other non-machined surface conditions can be affected by the nature of the surface (see Note 14).

X1.4.3 Test specimens taken from appendages to the part or component, such as prolongs or risers, or from separately produced castings (for example, keel blocks) may produce test results that are not representative of the part or component.

X1.4.4 Test specimen dimensions can influence test results. For cylindrical or rectangular specimens, changing the test specimen size generally has a negligible effect on the yield and tensile strength but may influence the upper yield strength, if one is present, and elongation and reduction of area values. Comparison of elongation values determined using different specimens requires that the following ratio be controlled:

\[ \frac{L_o}{A_o}^{1/2} \]  

where:

- \( L_o \) = original gage length of specimen, and
- \( A_o \) = original cross-sectional area of specimen.

X1.4.4.1 Specimens with smaller \( L_o/(A_o)^{1/2} \) ratios generally give greater elongation and reduction in area values. This is the case for example, when the width or thickness of a rectangular tensile test specimen is increased.

X1.4.4.2 Holding the \( L_o/(A_o)^{1/2} \) ratio constant minimizes, but does not necessarily eliminate, differences. Depending on material and test conditions, increasing the size of the proportional specimen of Fig. 8 may be found to increase or decrease elongation and reduction in area values somewhat.

X1.4.5 Use of a taper in the gage length, up to the allowed 1 % limit, can result in lower elongation values. Reductions of as much as 15 % have been reported for a 1 % taper.

X1.4.6 Changes in the strain rate can affect the yield strength, tensile strength, and elongation values, especially for materials which are highly strain rate sensitive. In general, the yield strength and tensile strength will increase with increasing strain rate, although the effect on tensile strength is generally less pronounced. Elongation values generally decrease as the strain rate increases.

X1.4.7 Brittle materials require careful specimen preparation, high quality surface finishes, large fillets at the ends of the gage length, oversize threaded grip sections, and cannot tolerate punch or scribe marks as gage length indicators.

X1.4.8 Flattening of tubular products to permit testing does alter the material properties, generally nonuniformly, in the flattened region which may affect test results.

X1.5 Measurement errors that can affect test results include: verification of the test force, extensometers, micrometers, dividers, and other measurement devices, alignment and zeroing of chart recording devices, and so forth.

X1.5.1 Measurement of the dimensions of as-cast, as-rolled, as-forged, and other test specimens with non-machined surfaces may be imprecise due to the irregularity of the surface flatness.

X1.5.2 Materials with anisotropic flow characteristics may exhibit non-circular cross sections after fracture and measurement precision may be affected, as a result (see Note 36).

X1.5.3 The corners of rectangular test specimens are subject to constraint during deformation and the originally flat surfaces may be parabolic in shape after testing which will affect the precision of final cross-sectional area measurements (see Note 41).

X1.5.4 If any portion of the fracture occurs outside of the middle of the gage length, or in a punch or scribe mark within the gage length, the elongation and reduction of area values may not be representative of the material. Wire specimens that break at or within the grips may not produce test results representative of the material.

X1.5.5 Use of specimens with shouldered ends ("button-head" tensiles) will produce lower 0.02 % offset yield strength values than threaded specimens.

X1.6 Because standard reference materials with certified tensile property values are not available, it is not possible to rigorously define the bias of tension tests. However, by the use of carefully designed and controlled interlaboratory studies, a reasonable definition of the precision of tension test results can be obtained.

X1.6.1 An interlaboratory test program was conducted in which six specimens each, of six different materials were prepared and tested by each of six different laboratories. Tables X1.1–X1.5 present the precision statistics, as defined in Practice E 691, for: tensile strength, 0.02 % yield strength, 0.2 % yield strength, % elongation in 4D, and % reduction in area. In each table, the first column lists the six materials tested, the second column lists the average of the average results obtained by the laboratories, the third and fifth columns list the repeatability and reproducibility standard deviations, the fourth and sixth columns list the coefficients of variation for these standard deviations, and the seventh and eighth columns list the 95 % repeatability and reproducibility limits.

X1.6.2 The averages (below columns four and six in each table) of the coefficients of variation permit a relative comparison of the repeatability (within-laboratory precision) and reproducibility (between-laboratory precision) of the tension test parameters. This shows that the ductility measurements exhibit less repeatability and reproducibility than the strength measurements. The overall ranking from the least to the most repeatable and reproducible is: % elongation in 4D, % reduction in area, 0.02 % offset yield strength, 0.2 % offset yield strength, and tensile strength. Note that the rankings are in the same order for the repeatability and reproducibility average coefficients of variation and that the reproducibility (between-laboratory precision) is poorer than the repeatability (within-laboratory precision), as would be expected.

X1.6.3 No comments about bias can be made for the interlaboratory study due to the lack of certified test results for these specimens. However, examination of the test results showed that one laboratory consistently exhibited higher than average strength values and lower than average ductility values for most of the specimens. One other laboratory had consistently lower than average tensile strength results for all specimens.
### TABLE X1.1 Precision Statistics—Tensile Strength, ksi

**NOTE 1**—X is the average of the cell averages, that is, the grand mean for the test parameter,

- $s_r$ is the repeatability standard deviation (within-laboratory precision),
- $s_r/X$ is the coefficient of variation in %,
- $s_R$ is the reproducibility standard deviation (between-laboratory precision),
- $s_R/X$ is the coefficient of variation, %,
- $r$ is the 95% repeatability limits,
- $R$ is the 95% reproducibility limits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>$s_r$</th>
<th>$s_r/X,%$</th>
<th>$s_R$</th>
<th>$s_R/X,%$</th>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EC-H19</td>
<td>25.66</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024-T351</td>
<td>71.26</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM A105</td>
<td>86.57</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AISI 316</td>
<td>100.75</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>3.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconel 600</td>
<td>99.48</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAE 51410</td>
<td>181.73</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Averages:** 0.91 1.30

### TABLE X1.2 Precision Statistics—0.02 % Yield Strength, ksi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>$s_r$</th>
<th>$s_r/X,%$</th>
<th>$s_R$</th>
<th>$s_R/X,%$</th>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EC-H19</td>
<td>16.16</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024-T351</td>
<td>51.38</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM A105</td>
<td>59.66</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>5.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AISI 316</td>
<td>48.75</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>9.49</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>12.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconel 600</td>
<td>38.74</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAE 51410</td>
<td>104.90</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>8.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Averages:** 2.68 4.46

### TABLE X1.3 Precision Statistics—0.2 % Yield Strength, ksi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>$s_r$</th>
<th>$s_r/X,%$</th>
<th>$s_R$</th>
<th>$s_R/X,%$</th>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EC-H19</td>
<td>22.98</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024-T351</td>
<td>52.64</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM A105</td>
<td>58.36</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>4.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AISI 316</td>
<td>69.78</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>7.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconel 600</td>
<td>38.91</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAE 51410</td>
<td>140.33</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Averages:** 1.35 2.32

### TABLE X1.4 Precision Statistics—% Elongation in 4D

**NOTE 1**—Length of reduced section = 6D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>$s_r$</th>
<th>$s_r/X,%$</th>
<th>$s_R$</th>
<th>$s_R/X,%$</th>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EC-H19</td>
<td>17.42</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024-T351</td>
<td>19.76</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>4.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM A105</td>
<td>29.10</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AISI 316</td>
<td>40.07</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconel 600</td>
<td>44.28</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>4.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAE 51410</td>
<td>14.48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Averages:** 2.80 5.39
X2. MEASUREMENT OF SPECIMEN DIMENSIONS

X2.1 Measurement of specimen dimensions is critical in tension testing, and it becomes more critical with decreasing specimen size, as a given absolute error becomes a larger relative (percent) error. Measuring devices and procedures should be selected carefully, so as to minimize measurement error and provide good repeatability and reproducibility.

X2.2 Relative measurement error should be kept at or below 1%, where possible. Ideally, this 1% error should include not only the resolution of the measuring device but also the variability commonly referred to as repeatability and reproducibility. (Repeatability is the ability of any operator to obtain similar measurements in repeated trials. Reproducibility is the ability of multiple operators to obtain similar measurements.)

X2.3 Formal evaluation of gage repeatability and reproducibility (GR and R) by way of a GR and R study is highly recommended. A GR and R study involves having multiple operators each take two or three measurements of a number of parts—in this case, test specimens. Analysis, usually done by computer, involves comparing the observed measurement variations to a tolerance the procedure is to determine conformance to. High GR and R percentages (more than 20%) indicate much variability relative to the tolerance, whereas low percentages (10% or lower) indicate the opposite. The analysis also estimates, independently, the repeatability and reproducibility.

X2.4 GR and R studies in which nontechnical personnel used different brands and models of hand-held micrometers have given results varying from about 10% (excellent) to nearly 100% (essentially useless), relative to a dimensional tolerance of 0.003 in. The user is therefore advised to be very careful in selecting devices, setting up measurement procedures, and training personnel.

X2.5 With a 0.003 in. tolerance, a 10% GR and R result (exceptionally good, even for digital hand-held micrometers reading to 0.00005 in.) indicates that the total variation due to repeatability and reproducibility is around 0.0003 in. This is less than or equal to 1% only if all dimensions to be measured are greater than or equal to 0.03 in. The relative error in using this device to measure thickness of a 0.01 in. flat tensile specimen would be 3%—which is considerably more than that allowed for load or strain measurement.

X2.6 Dimensional measurement errors can be identified as the cause of many out-of-control signals, as indicated by statistical process control (SPC) charts used to monitor tension testing procedures. This has been the experience of a production laboratory employing SPC methodology and the best hand-held micrometers available (from a GR and R standpoint) in testing of 0.018 in. to 0.25 in. flat rolled steel products.

X2.7 Factors which affect GR and R, sometimes dramatically, and which should be considered in the selection and evaluation of hardware and procedures include:

X2.7.1 Resolution,
X2.7.2 Verification,
X2.7.3 Zeroing,
X2.7.4 Type of anvil (flat, rounded, or pointed),
X2.7.5 Cleanliness of part and anvil surfaces,
X2.7.6 User-friendliness of measuring device,
X2.7.7 Stability/temperature variations,
X2.7.8 Coating removal,
X2.7.9 Operator technique,
X2.7.10 Ratchets or other features used to regulate the clamping force.

X2.8 Flat anvils are generally preferred for measuring the dimensions of round or flat specimens which have relatively smooth surfaces. One exception is that rounded or pointed anvils must be used in measuring the thickness of curved specimens taken from large-diameter tubing (see Fig. 13), to prevent overstate the thickness. (Another concern for these curved specimens is the error that can be introduced through use of the equation $A = \pi r^2$; see 7.2.3.)

X2.9 Heavy coatings should generally be removed from at least one grip end of flat specimens taken from coated products to permit accurate measurement of base metal thickness, assuming (a) the base metal properties are what are desired, (b) the coating does not contribute significantly to the strength of the product, and (c) coating removal can be easily accomplished (some coatings may be easily removed by chemical stripping). Otherwise, it may be advisable to leave the coating intact and determine the base metal thickness by an alternate method. Where this issue may arise, all parties involved in comparison or conformance testing should agree as to whether or not coatings are to be removed before measurement.

X2.10 As an example of how the considerations identified above affect dimensional measurement procedures, consider the case of measuring the thickness of 0.015 in. painted, flat
rolled steel specimens. The paint should be removed prior to measurement, if possible. The measurement device used should have flat anvils, must read to 0.0001 in. or better, and must have excellent repeatability and reproducibility. Since GR and R is a significant concern, it will be best to use a device which has a feature for regulating the clamping force used, and devices without digital displays should be avoided to prevent reading errors. Before use of the device, and periodically during use, the anvils should be cleaned, and the device should be verified or zeroed (if an electronic display is used) or both. Finally, personnel should be trained and audited periodically to ensure that the measuring device is being used correctly and consistently by all.

X3. SUGGESTED ACCREDITATION CRITERIA FOR LABORATORIES PERFORMING TENSILE TESTS

X3.1 Scope

X3.1.1 The following are specific features that an assessor may check to assess a laboratory’s technical competence, if the laboratory is performing tests in accordance with Test Methods E 8 and/or E 8M.

X3.2 Preparation

X3.2.1 The laboratory should follow documented procedures to ensure that machining or other preparation generates specimens conforming to applicable tolerances and requirements of Test Methods E 8 or E 8M. Particularly important are those requirements that pertain to the dimensions and finish of reduced sections, as found in the text and in applicable figures.

X3.2.2 Where gage marks are used, the laboratory should employ documented gaging marking procedures to ensure that the marks and gage lengths comply with the tolerances and guidelines of Test Methods E 8 and/or E 8M.

X3.2.2.1 The gage marking procedure used should not deleteriously affect the test results.

NOTE X3.1—Frequent occurrence of fracturing at the gage marks may indicate that gage marks have excessive depth or sharpness and may be affecting test results.

X3.3 Test Equipment

X3.3.1 As specified in the Apparatus sections of Test Methods E 8 and E 8M, the axis of the test specimen should coincide with the center line of the heads of the testing machine, in order to minimize bending stresses which could affect the results.

X3.3.2 Equipment verification requirements of Practices E 4 and E 83 shall be met. Documentation showing the verification work to have been thorough and technically correct should be available.

X3.3.2.1 Verification reports shall demonstrate that force and extension readings have been taken at the prescribed intervals and that the prescribed runs have been completed.

X3.3.3 Extensometers used shall meet all requirements of Test Methods E 8 or E 8M as to the classification of device to be used for the results determined. For example, an extensometer not meeting the Class B2 requirements of Practice E 83 may not be used in determination of offset yield strengths.

X3.3.4 Before computerized or automated test equipment is put into routine service, or following a software revision, it is recommended that measures be taken to verify proper operation and result interpretation. Guide E 1856 addresses this concern.

X3.3.5 Micrometers and other devices used in measurement of specimen dimensions should be selected, maintained and used in such a manner as to comply with the appendixes of Test Methods E 8 and E 8M on measurement. Traceability to national standards should be established for these devices, and reasonable effort should be employed to prevent errors greater than 1 % from being generated as a result of measurement error, resolution, and rounding practice.

X3.4 Procedures

X3.4.1 The test machine shall be set up and zeroed in such a manner that zero force indication signifies a state of zero force on the specimen, as indicated in the Zeroing of the Test Machine sections of Test Methods E 8 and E 8M.

NOTE X3.2—Provisions should be made to ensure that zero readings are properly maintained, from test to test. These may include, for example, zeroing after a predetermined number of tests or each time, under zero force conditions, the indicator exceeds a predetermined value.

X3.4.2 Upon request, the laboratory should be capable of demonstrating (perhaps through time, force, displacement or extensometer measurements, or both) that the test speeds used conform to the requirements of Test Methods E 8 or E 8M, or other standards which take precedence.

X3.4.3 Upon request, the laboratory should be capable of demonstrating that the offsets and extensions used in determining yield strengths conform to the requirements of Test Methods E 8 or E 8M and are constructed so as to indicate the forces corresponding to the desired offset strain or total strain.

NOTE X3.3—Use caution when performing calculations with extensometer magnification, because the manufacturer may report strain magnification, which relates the strain (not the elongation) to the x-axis displacement on the stress strain diagram. A user or assessor interested in an extensometer’s magnification may use calibration equipment to determine the ratio between elongation and chart travel or may verify a reported magnification by calculating the Young’s modulus from tests of specimens of a known nominal modulus.

X3.4.4 Measurement of elongation shall conform to requirements of Test Methods E 8 or E 8M.

NOTE X3.4—Test Methods E 8 and E 8M permit the measurement and reporting of elongation at fracture in place of elongation, as is often done in automated testing.

X3.4.5 Reduction of area, when required, shall be determined in accordance with the requirements of Test Methods E 8 or E 8M.

X3.4.6 Procedures for recording, calculating, and reporting data and test results shall conform to all applicable requirements of Test Methods E 8 or E 8M. In addition, wherever
practical, the procedures should also be in accordance with widely accepted provisions of good laboratory practice, such as those detailed below.

X3.4.6.1 When recording data, personnel should record all figures that are definite, plus the best estimate of the first figure which is uncertain. (If a result is known to be approximately midway between 26 and 27, 26.5 should be the result recorded (not 26, 27, or 26.475).

X3.4.6.2 When performing calculations, personnel should avoid compounding of rounding errors. This may be accomplished by performing one large calculation, rather than several calculations using individual results. Alternatively, if multi-step calculations are done, intermediate results should not be rounded before use in subsequent calculations.

X3.4.6.3 In rounding, no final result should retain more significant figures than the least-significant-figure measurement or data point used in the calculation.

X3.5 Retention

X3.5.1 A retention program appropriate for the nature and frequency of testing done in the laboratory should be maintained. Items that may warrant retention for defined time periods include:

X3.5.1.1 Raw data and forms,
X3.5.1.2 Force-elongation or stress-strain charts,
X3.5.1.3 Computer printouts of curves and test results,
X3.5.1.4 Data and results stored on computer discs or hard drives,
X3.5.1.5 Broken specimens,
X3.5.1.6 Excess material,
X3.5.1.7 Test reports, and
X3.5.1.8 Verification reports and certifications.

X3.6 Environment

X3.6.1 All test equipment should be located and connected to power sources in such a manner as to minimize the effects of vibrations and electrical disturbances on raw data collected, stress-strain charts, and operation of equipment.

X3.7 Controls

X3.7.1 Controlled procedures and work instructions should cover all aspects of specimen preparation, tensile testing, and result reporting. These documents should be readily available to all involved in the documented tasks.

X3.7.2 Clear, concise, operating instructions should be maintained for equipment used in specimen preparation and tensile testing. These instructions should be readily available to all qualified operators.

X3.7.3 All applicable verification requirements shall be met, as detailed in X3.3.2.

X3.7.4 It is recommended that special studies and programs be employed to monitor and control tensile testing, because tensile test results are easily affected by operators, measuring devices, and test equipment. Examples of such programs include but are not limited to:

X3.7.4.1 Round-robin studies, proficiency tests, or other cross-checks,
X3.7.4.2 Repeatability and reproducibility (R and R) studies,
X3.7.4.3 Control charting, and
X3.7.4.4 Determination of typical lab uncertainties for each result typically reported.

Note X3.5—For nondestructive testing, repeatability and reproducibility are often measured by conducting gage R and R studies, as discussed in Appendix X2 of Test Methods E 8 and E 8M. These studies involve repeated determination of a test result, using a single part or specimen, so gage R and Rs are not directly applicable to mechanical properties, which are obtained through destructive testing. (True differences between even the best duplicate specimens manifest themselves in the form of poorer R and R results than would be obtained for perfect duplicates.) Nevertheless, quasi-R and R studies conducted with these limitations taken into consideration may be helpful in analyzing sources of error and improving reliability of test results.

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Committee E28 has identified the location of selected changes to this standard since the last issue (E 8M – 01) that may impact the use of this standard.

(1) Section 6.5 and it subsections were revised.
(2) Note 10 was deleted and the remaining notes were renumbered.
(3) Appendix X3 was added.